UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/528,428  | 03/18/2005           | Keiichi Tanaka       | 268281US0PCT        | 4258             |
| <sup>22850</sup> 7590 04/12/2007<br>OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.<br>1940 DUKE STREET<br>ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 |                      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |                      |                      | PESELEV, ELLI       |                  |
|   |                      |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |                      |                      | 1623                |                  |
|   |                      |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY   | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | NOTIFICATION DATE    | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MO1   | NTHS                 | 04/12/2007           | ELECTRONIC          |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/12/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

|   |   | <b>A</b>   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| . Office Action Comment   | 10/528,428  | TANAKA ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Elli Peselev  | 1623   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe   | ebruary 2007.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6 and 10-26</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6 and 10-26</u> is/are rejected.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The Declaration**  13. **The Declaration**  14. **The Declaration**  15. **The Declaration**  16. **The Declaration**  17. **The Declaration**  18. **The Declaration**  19. **The Declaration**  19. **The Declaration**  19. **The Declaration**  19. **The Declaration**  11. **The Declaration**  12. **The Declaration**  13. **The Declaration**  14. **The Declaration**  15. **The Declaration**  16. **The Declaration**  17. **The Declaration**  18. **The Declaration**  19. **The Declar | epted or b) objected to by the addrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |   | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/528,428

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1, 4-6 and 10-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed does not disclose high methoxy pectin hydrolysate and does not disclose said hydrolysate which is free of low methoxy pectin hydrolysate as set forth in claim 1. Note that the specification, as originally filed, discloses pectin hydrolysate without specifying its methoxy content.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/528,428

Art Unit: 1623

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 10-13, 16, 17, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DeWille et al (U.S. Patent No.6,475,539).

DeWille et al disclose the claimed composition comprising high methoxy pectin (column 13). The claimed compositions are anticipated by Dewille et al. DeWille et al further disclose administering said composition to humans.. Prevention of allergic diseases and inhibition of histamine levels would have been inherent from such an administration.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 10-21 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the Japanese Patent Abstract 09-048732.

The Japanese Patent discloses pectin hydrolysate having a degree of polymerization of 1-100 and administration of said hydrolysate. The claimed hydrolysate is anticipated by the Japanese Patent. The inhibition of histamine levels and prevention of allergic diseases would have been inherent from the administration of said hydrolysate. In addition, if there is a difference in methoxy substitution between the claimed product and the reference's product, such difference would appear to be minor in nature and the claimed product, which falls within the scope of the prior art's disclosure, would have been prima facie onbious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,428

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1, 4, 5, 11-12, 16-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admittance on page 8 of the specification.

Applicants admit on page 8 of the specification that the claimed pectin hydrolysate is a known commercially available product. A combination of a known commercially available product with a conventional carrier such as water, does not result in a patentable invention.

Claims 6 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over The Japanese Patent Abstract 09-048732, the Japanese Patent Abstract No. 7-330617 or the Japanese Patent Abstract 06-271469.

The Japanese Patent Abstract 09-048732 discloses pectin or pectin hyrolysate useful for the treatment of allergic diseases.

The Japanese Patent Abstract 7-330617 discloses the use of pectic acid for the treatment of allergic diseases.

The Japanese Patent Abstract 06-271469 discloses the use of pectic acid for the treatment of ulcer.

Since pectin or pectin hydrolysate is known to be useful for treating allergic conditions as disclosed by the Japanese Patents, a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made would have been motivated to treat such allergic diseases as allergic rhinitis, atopic dermatitis, urticaria, bronchial asthma, peptic ulcer or pollinosis with pectin.

Applicant's arguments filed February 20, 2007 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,428 Page 6

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200